

=====

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT      PETITION      NO.      289      OF      1988.

=====

Mr. P.G. Karande for the petitioner.

Mr. Milind Shevale i/b. M/s. Ghanekar & Co.  
for the respondent.

CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.

DATED: 24TH JUNE, 2005.

P.C.:

. By an order dated 14th August, 2002, this Court had directed the parties to approach the appropriate forum by way of necessary application for the purpose of Section 17 of the Bombay Rent Act. Accordingly, the said application was moved and decided on 30th April, 2003, and thereby it was ordered to restore the vacant possession of the premises in favour of the applicant i.e. the petitioner-herein. Against the said order, there is an Appeal No.600 of 2003 pending before the Appeal Bench of the Small Causes Court (Court Room No.1). The said Appeal is now fixed for hearing on 1st July, 2005.

. At the request of both the parties, the hearing of the present Writ Petition No. 289 of 1988 is adjourned for a period of two months with an expectation that the Appellate Court of the Small Causes at Bombay will decide the Appeal within a period of two months as the issue is connected and relevant in deciding the present Writ Petition No. 289 of 1988, which is pending since 1988.

. In this background, the matter is adjourned for two months.

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]